Inorganic Chemistry

Synthesis and Anion Sensing of Water-Soluble Metallomacrocycles

Liao-Yuan Yao,⁺ Lin Qin,⁺ Ting-Zheng Xie,⁺ Yi-Zhi Li,[‡] and Shu-Yan Yu^{*,+}

[†]Laboratory for Self-Assembly Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, Renmin University of China, Beijing 100872, People's Republic of China

[†]Department of Chemistry, State Key Laboratory of Coordination Chemistry, Coordination Chemistry Institute, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, People's Republic of China

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The self-assembly of (TMEDA)Pd(NO₃)₂ or (TMEDA)Pt(NO₃)₂ (where TMEDA = N^1, N^1, N^2, N^2 -tetramethylethane-1,2-diamine) and anthracene- or ferrocene-based diimidazole ligands (L¹⁻³) in aqueous solution affords a series of positively charged $[M_2L_2]^{4+}$ dimetallomacrocycles. Their structures were characterized by ¹H NMR and electrospray ionization mass spectrometry and in the cases of {[(TMEDA)Pd]₂-L¹₂}(NO₃)₄ (1), {[(TMEDA)Pd]₂L¹₂}(PF₆)₄ (1a), and {[(TMEDA)Pd]₂L³₂}(NO₃)₄ (4) by single-crystal X-ray dif-



fraction analysis. Interestingly, the NMR spectra of 1 and 1a revealed that the difference of their structures, as confirmed by X-ray diffraction analysis, was that a NO₃⁻ of 1 was encapsulated inside the cavity of the basket-shaped metallomacrocycle by $C-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds, while PF_6^- of 1a was bound outside by $C-H\cdots F$ hydrogen bonds. The fluorescence titration experiment exhibited the formation of 1:1 host–guest complexation for anthracene-based positively charged $[M_2L_2]^{4+}$ -type metallomacrocycles with NO₃⁻. The interactions between metallomacrocycles and various anions were investigated via fluorescence titration and cyclic voltammetry studies, respectively.

■ INTRODUCTION

Supramolecular chemistry of anions, pioneered by Lehn in the late 1970s,^{1,2} has attracted considerable research attention²⁻⁸ because of the important roles of anions in biology, chemistry, medicine, catalysis, and the environment. Until now, great efforts have been made in the design and synthesis of the receptors for anionic species, most of which have been synthesized through covalent organic synthesis.^{3,6a,9} As we know, anions are ubiquitous in biological systems in the form of their aqueous solution, and it represents a challenge to design and synthesize watersoluble receptors for anions. With the rapid development of coordination-driven self-assembly over the past 2 decades,¹⁰ chemists have become interested in the design of self-assembled water-soluble metallomacrocycles with functional ligands for potential uses in anion recognition and sensing. Although metal-organic anion receptors made of molecular metal complexes have been reported recently,11 self-assembled positively charged water-soluble metallomacrocycles utilized to sense anions in aqueous solution are very rare.

Since 2003, we have developed a series of positively charged water-soluble metallomacrocycles through a cavity-tunable self-assembly approach, such as molecular bowls, crowns, baskets, hats, and clips, which show promise toward the complexation of inorganic anions.¹² Herein, we employed flexible diimidazole ligands with luminescent or redox-active spacers such as anthracene or ferrocene as linkers and metal complexes (TMEDA)Pd- $(NO_3)_2$ or (TMEDA)Pt($NO_3)_2$ as constructing units to synthesize

and characterize a series of water-soluble metallomacrocyclic complexes via self-assembly (as shown in Scheme 1). Their anion-sensing properties in aqueous solution were investigated via fluorescence titration for anthracene-based metallomacrocycles and cyclic voltammetry (CV) titration for ferrocene-based metallomacrocycles.

RESULT AND DISSCUSION

Synthesis and Structures of the $[M_2L_2]^{4+}$ -Type Metallomacrocycles with Ligands L¹ and L². As shown in Scheme 1, (TMEDA)Pd(NO₃)₂ or (TMEDA)Pt(NO₃)₂ was treated with a suspension containing 1 equiv of L¹ or L² (as shown in Scheme 1) in H₂O at room temperature or 60 °C over 12 h. Then the mixture was filtered, and the resulting clear solution was concentrated, leading to the formation of positively charged metallomacrocycles $[M_3L_2](NO_3)_4$ [M = Pd^{II}, L = L¹, 1; M = Pt^{II}, L = L¹, 2; M = Pd^{II}, L = L², 3]. The ¹H NMR analysis indicated the formation of a single product. The assignments of $[M_2L_2]^{4+}$ -type macrocycles were based on electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) studies, where multiply charged molecular ions corresponding to intact cyclic dimers were observed. Single-crystal X-ray structural studies of 1 and 1a

Received:January 11, 2011Published:June 09, 2011

Scheme 1. Synthesis of $[M_2L_2]^{4+}$ -Type Metallomacrocycles





Figure 1. ¹H NMR spectra of (a) **1a** and (b) **1** in DMSO- d_6 , 25 °C, Si(CH₃)₄ (left, peaks of L¹; right, peaks of TMEDA).

(the ${\rm PF_6}^-$ salt of 1) confirmed the formation of $[M_2L_2]^{4+}\mbox{-type}$ macrocycles.

The ¹H NMR spectra of 1 and 1a in Figure 1 clearly showed that 1:1 (TMEDA)Pd to diimidazole ligand complexes were formed. It can be seen that several peak shifts were observed when the ¹H NMR spectrum of 1a was compared with that of 1. The proton signals clearly revealed that $[M_2L_2]^{4+}$ of 1a was highly symmetric. In contrast, when only the counteranions were changed, some signals of 1 were overlapped and the spectral shape indicated the low symmetry of the metallomacrocycle. In particular, the signal of the proton of C2 in L¹ showed upfield shifts ($\Delta \delta \approx 0.6$ ppm) from 1a to 1, which indicated the remarkable shielding effect. We deduced that the counteranions PF₆⁻ of 1a were located outside of the positively charged macrocycle, while the NO₃⁻ anions of 1 were encapsulated inside the macrocycle through hydrogen bonds, which was confirmed by the single-crystal X-ray diffraction analyses of 1 and 1a.

The ¹H NMR spectrum of **2** showed behavior similar to that of **1** (Figure S3 in the Supporting Information). Owing to the steric effects of benzimidazole in L^2 (as shown in Scheme 1), the geometry of complex **3** resulted in two different environments for all groups caused by the fixed structure (as shown in Figure 2). As a result, two sets of metallomacrocycles, except the proton of C2 in L^2 , were observed by ¹H NMR (Figure S4 in the Supporting Information).

The formation of the $[M_2L_2]^{4+}$ -type metallomacrocyclic structure was further supported by ESI-MS in methanol. ESI-MS spectra of 1–3 in a methanol solution allowed the unambiguous assignment of $[(TMEDA)Pd]_2L_2^1$, $[(TMEDA)Pt]_2L_2^1$, and $[(TMEDA)Pd]_2L^2$. As shown in Figure 3, the multiply charged molecular ions of 1 were observed at m/z 1308.4 ($[1-NO_3^-]^+$), 623.2 ($[1-2NO_3^-]^{2+}$), 394.5 ($[1-3NO_3^-]^{3+}$), and 280.6 ($[1-4NO_3^-]^{4+}$). Similarly, the multiply charged molecular ions of 2 were at m/z 711.7 ($[2-2NO_3^-]^{2+}$), 324.8 ($[2-4NO_3^-]^{4+}$) (Figure S5 in the Supporting Information), and the multiply charged molecular ions of 3 were at m/z 1508.4 ($[3-NO_3^-]^{+}$), 723.2 ($[3-2NO_3^-]^{2+}$), 461.5 ($[3-3NO_3^-]^{3+}$), 330.6 ($[3-4NO_3^-]^{4+}$) (Figure S6 in the Supporting Information), respectively.

The ORTEP diagram of 1 is shown in Figure 4. Complex 1 crystallizes in the monoclinic space group P2(1)/c. The crystal structure analysis for 1 reveals the Pd₂ basket-shaped macrocyclic structure with two (TMEDA)Pd units. The two anthracene rings form a dihedral angle of 60°. The dihedral angles between the two imidazole (N3–N4 and N5–N6; N9–N10 and N11–N12) planes at each corner are 86.8 and 85.7°, respectively, which are a little smaller than the angles of N2–Pd1–N3 and N7–Pd2–N9 [92.35(16) and 90.68(14)°]. The distance of Pd1–Pd2 is 8.02 Å. The cavity of the Pd₂ basket-shaped macrocycle with several protons inside can encapsulate guest molecules and anions. In



Figure 2. Optimized geometry of 3 (ball-and-stick model calculated with the CAChe 6.1.1 program): (a) top view; (b) side view (yellow, Pd; gray, C; white, H; blue, N).



Figure 3. ESI-MS spectrum of 1 in methanol. The inset shows the isotopic distribution of the species $[1-3NO_3^{-}]^{3+}$.

this case, as shown in Figure 4, one NO_3^- is encapsulated in the cavity through hydrogen bonds between the C-H and O atoms. The selected lengths and angles of the hydrogen bonds are as follows: C4-H4A···O2, 2.40 Å, 138°; C4-H4B···O3, 2.55 Å, 121°; C6-H6C···O1, 2.35 Å, 144°; C9-H9A···O1, 2.59 Å, 122°.

The ORTEP diagram of 1a is shown in Figure 5. Complex 1a crystallizes in the monoclinic space group C2/c. Crystal structure analysis for 1a reveals the Pd₂ square-shaped macrocyclic structure with two (TMEDA)Pd constructing units. The two anthracene planes are parallel, separated by approximately 7 Å. The dihedral angle between the two imidazole (N3–N4 and N5–N6) planes at each corner is 74.1°. The angle of N3-Pd1-N5 is $87.05(15)^{\circ}$, which is a little smaller than the angles of N2-Pd1-N3 and N1-Pd1-N5 [94.86(15) and 92.30(17)°]. The distance of Pd1–Pd1A is 12.90 Å. The cavity of the Pd₂ square-shaped macrocycle shows promise in encapsulating guest molecules and anions. As shown in Figure 5, one PF_6^- is bonded through hydrogen bonds between C-H and F atoms. The selected lengths and angles of the hydrogen bonds are, for example, as follows: $C7-H7\cdots F1$, 2.38 Å, 167° ; $C7-H7\cdots$ F3, 2.51 Å, 132°. These hydrogen-bond C–H···F distances were smaller than that previously found between CH and fluoride (2.58 Å).^{9b}

Synthesis and Structures of the $[M_2L_2]^{4+}$ -Type Metallomacrocycles with Ligand L³. As shown in Scheme 1, simply mixing (TMEDA)Pd(NO₃)₂ or (TMEDA)Pt(NO₃)₂ with a suspension containing 1 equiv of L³ in H₂O at room temperature or 60 °C over 12 h resulted in positively charged metallomacrocycles $[M_2L_2](NO_3)_4$ [M = Pd^{II}, 4; M = Pt^{II}, 5]. The ¹H NMR spectra of 4, 4a (the PF₆⁻ salt of 4), and 5 clearly showed that a 1:1 mixture of (TMEDA)Pd or (TMEDA)Pt to the ferrocenebased diimidazole ligand complexes was formed (Figures S7–S9 in the Supporting Information). The assignments of $[M_2L_2]^{4+}$ -type metallomacrocycles were based on ESI-MS studies, where multiply charged molecular ions corresponding to intact cyclic dimers were observed. ESI-MS spectra of 4 and 5 in a methanol solution allowed the unambiguous assignment of $[(TMEDA)Pd]_2L^3_2$ and $[(TMEDA)Pt]_2L^3_2$ products, respectively. The multiply charged molecular ions of 4 were at m/z 630.6 ($[4-2NO_3^{-}]^{2+}$), 399.7 ($[4-3NO_3^{-}]^{3+}$), 284.4 ($[4-4NO_3^{-}]^{4+}$) (Figure S10 in the Supporting Information). Similarly, the multiply charged molecular ions of 5 were observed at m/z 1501.3 ($[5-NO_3^{-}]^{+}$), 719.7 ($[5-2NO_3^{-}]^{2+}$), 459.1 ($[5-3NO_3^{-}]^{3+}$), and 328.8 ($[5-4NO_3^{-}]^{4+}$) (Figure S11 in the Supporting Information). Eventually, formation of the [M_2L_2]⁴⁺-type metallomacrocycle was confirmed by a singlecrystal X-ray structural study of 4 (Figure 6).

The ORTEP diagram of 4 is shown in Figure 6. Complex 4 crystallizes in the triclinic space group $P\overline{1}$. Crystal structure analysis for 4 reveals the Pd₂ square-shaped macrocyclic structure with two (TMEDA)Pd constructing units. The four cyclopentadiene rings of ferrocenes are parallel to each other. The dihedral angle between the two imidazole (N3–N4 and N2–N1) planes at each corner is 78.9° . The angle of N1–Pd1–N3 is $87.61(16)^{\circ}$, which is a little smaller than the angles of N1-Pd1-N6 and N3-Pd1-N5 [93.35(17) and 93.31(16)°]. The distance of Pd1-Pd1A is 12.70 Å. The cavity of the Pd₂ square-shaped macrocycle is small because of the $\pi - \pi$ stacking of the cyclopentadiene rings of ferrocene. As shown in Figure 6, one NO_3^- is bonded through the hydrogen bonds between C-H and O atoms. The selected lengths and angles of the hydrogen bonds are, for example, as follows: C16-H16···O4, 2.43 Å, 166°; C16-H16···O6, 2.54 Å, 146°.

The UV—vis absorption spectra of metallomacrocycles 4 and 4a showed absorption bands at 429—433 nm in dilute methanol and in dilute acetonitrile, respectively. The absorption bands typical of iron bis(cyclopentadienyl) are independent of the substituents on the ferrocene ring as well as of the anions¹³ (Figure S12 in the Supporting Information).

Anion-Sensing Properties. Anion Sensing for Luminescent Metallomacrocycles. The synthesis of 1 has been achieved through self-assembly in aqueous solution (Scheme 1). In water, 1 exhibits a characteristic anthracene emission and fluorescence maxima at 401, 422, and 447 nm (excitation at 370 nm) and UV-vis spectrum peaks at 339, 356, 374, and 395 nm, respectively (Figure S13 in the Supporting Information). The PF₆⁻ salt of 1 (1a) was obtained by exchange with excess NH₄PF₆ in a methanol solution in quantitative yield. More interestingly, from the NMR analysis experiments of 1a and 1 in DMSO- d_6 , several peak shifts were observed when the ¹H NMR spectrum of 1a was compared with that of 1 (as shown in Figure 1). This NMR feature establishes that the geometries of 1a and 1 are different in



Figure 4. ORTEP diagram of the molecular structure of 1: (a) top view; (b) side view. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 30% probability level. The remaining counteranions and solvent molecules are omitted for clarity.



Figure 5. ORTEP diagram of the molecular structure of 1a: (a) side view; (b) top view. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 30% probability level. The remaining counteranions and solvent molecules are omitted for clarity.

the solution state, as revealed by the X-ray structure analysis of **1** and **1a** in the solid state, which indicates that NO_3^- of **1** was encapsulated inside the cavity of the basket-shaped metallomacrocycle by $C-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds, while PF_6^- of **1a** was bonded outside by $C-H\cdots F$ hydrogen bonds.

On the basis of the NMR and X-ray structure analysis, to further investigate the mechanism of encapsulation of NO_3^- for positively charged metallomacrocycle, fluorescence titration experiments were carried out. The addition of aliquots of NO_3^- to

a solution of 1a in H₂O/CH₃CN (2:1, v/v; 1.0×10^{-5} M) resulted in a linear increase of the fluorescence intensity until it reached 1 equiv concentration (1.0×10^{-5} M). The fluorescence titration curve of NO₃⁻ suggested the formation of a 1:1 complex between positively charged metallomacrocyle and NO₃⁻ (Figure 7). Also, Job's plot showed that the stoichiometry of the receptor 1a with NO₃⁻ was 1:1 (Figure S14 in the Supporting Information). In the concentration range 2.0×10^{-6} – 1.0×10^{-5} M, there is a good linear relationship between the concentration and fluorescence intensity, which indicates that the limit of detection on NO₃⁻ based on 1a can reach 2.0×10^{-6} M.

For the fluorescence quantum yield (Φ_f) determination, the quinine sulfate was chosen as a standard ($\Phi_f = 0.55$) and the quantum yields of **1a** and the 1:1 complex ($\mathbf{1a} \cdot \mathbf{NO_3}^-$) were reported as 0.040 and 0.079, respectively. It can be seen that the value of Φ_f of **1a** upon the addition of 1 equiv of $\mathbf{NO_3}^-$ is 2-fold that of free **1a**.¹⁴ Obvious fluorescence emission enhancements were also observed upon the addition of F⁻ to the solution of **1a** in H₂O/CH₃CN (2:1, v/v; 1.0×10^{-5} M; Figure S15 in the Supporting Information). However, we could not obtain reliable association constants for these two anions from the fluorescent experiment because the reversibility of the complexation processes could not be proven and the emission intensity did not consistently increase upon the addition of F⁻. Upon sensing, no remarkable anion binding that induced changes in the absorption spectra could be detected.

The anion-sensing properties of the luminescent water-soluble metallomacrocycle 1 were investigated through fluorescence titration in aqueous solution. Fluorescence changes of 1 in H_2O (1.0×10^{-5} M) upon the addition of anions (SO_4^{2-} , NO_3^- , HSO_4^- , F^- , and $H_2PO_4^-$) were investigated (Figure S16 in the Supporting Information). The strong fluorescence enhancement induced by HSO_4^- allowed it to be discriminated from the other anions. However, for the reason that only a slight fluorescence enhancement was observed upon the addition of SO_4^{2-} , it was very possible that just H^+ dissociated from HSO_4^- had caused the enhancement, which was confirmed by the remarkable fluorescence enhancement observed upon the addition of HNO₃ (100 equiv) because nitrate itself had a slight effect



Figure 6. ORTEP diagram of the molecular structure of 4: (a) side view; (b) top view. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 30% probability level. The remaining counteranions, H atoms (except H16), and solvent molecules are omitted for clarity.



Figure 7. Fluorescent emission of **1a** in H₂O/CH₃CN (2:1, v/v; 1.0 × 10^{-5} M) upon the addition of NO₃⁻: (a) 0, (b) 0.2, (c) 0.4, (d) 0.6, (e) 0.8, (f) 1.0, (g) 1.2, (h) 1.5, and (i) 2.0 equiv. The inset shows the emission titration curve of **1a** upon the addition of NO₃⁻ at 422 nm. $\lambda_{ex} = 370$ nm.

(Figure S16 in the Supporting Information). To gain more insight into the sensing mechanism, fluorescence titration of HSO_4^- (Figure S17 in the Supporting Information) and other anions were carried out but were confusing. Therefore, it was not possible to obtain accurate association constants for these anions.

The fluorescence is switched on upon sensing rather than switched off as was reported in many literature cases.^{6b} One



Figure 8. (a) Evolution of the SWV of receptor **4a** in CH₃CN upon the addition of various anions (4 equiv). (b) CV of receptor **4a** in CH₃CN upon the addition of various concentrations of HSO_4^- . Supporting electrolyte: 0.1 M (*n*-Bu)₄NPF₆. Scan rate: 100 mV/s.

possible mechanism is that the addition of anions may inhibit the quenching of excited states involving either energy or electron transfer caused by metal—organic complexes, so as to allow fluorescence of the receptors to be restored.¹⁵

Anion Sensing for Redox-Active Metallomacrocycles. For the redox-active group ferrocene employed in the formation of metallomacrocycles, CV experiments of 4 and 4a (the PF_6^- salt of 4) were carried out. Each macrocycle exhibited a reversible oneelectron redox wave (Figure S18 in the Supporting Information), typical of ferrocene derivatives. Compared to unsubstituted ferrocene, $E_{1/2}$'s for 4 and 4a shifted to more positive potentials (220–229 mV vs Fc⁺/Fc) because of the electron-withdrawing effect of the imidazolium groups and of the metals.

The anion-sensing properties of receptor 4a $(5.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M})$ were investigated by CV and square-wave voltammetry (SWV) in CH₃CN solutions containing 0.1 M $(n-\text{Bu})_4\text{NPF}_6$ as the supporting electrolyte. The electrochemical behaviors of the receptor alone and in the presence of various concentrations of anions (HSO₄⁻, F⁻, H₂PO₄⁻, AcO⁻, and NO₃⁻) were studied. In order to make a good estimation about the $E_{1/2}$ values, SWV was employed to obtain well-resolved potential information. As seen from Figure 8a, the addition of different anions to the CH₃CN solution of 4a caused different behaviors on SWV. The resulting ΔE_p values versus the free receptor are reported in Table 1. The presence of NO₃⁻ had few changes on SWV, while the addition of H₂PO₄⁻, AcO⁻, and F⁻ led to a decrease in the

Table 1. E_p and ΔE_p Values Associated with the Complexing Processes between the Free Receptor and the Appropriate Anion

| | anion | | | | | |
|---|-------|--------------------|---------|----------------------|-----------|----------|
| | free | $\mathrm{HSO_4}^-$ | F^{-} | $\mathrm{H_2PO_4}^-$ | AcO^{-} | NO_3^- |
| $E_{\rm p}~({\rm mV})$ | 284 | 232 | 264 | 268 | 272 | 280 |
| $\Delta E_{\rm p} \ ({\rm mV} \ {\rm vs} \ {\rm free})$ | 0 | -52 | -20 | -16 | -12 | -4 |

current. Compared to the other anions, the addition of HSO_4^- caused the most obvious changes of the $E_{\rm p}$ values and had the strongest interaction with the redox center. Furthermore, when HSO_4^- was added, a second wave at more negative potential appeared, which could be attributed to the formation of a receptor—anion complex.¹⁶

The CV titrations of these anions were carried out in a 0.1 M $(n-Bu)_4NPF_6-CH_3CN$ solution (Figures 8b and S19–S21 in the Supporting Information). The addition of HSO_4^- to the CH₃CN solution of receptor 4a, unlike the other anions, exhibited some different behaviors on CV. From Figure 8b, it can be seen that, after the concentration of HSO_4^- reached 4 equiv, another cathodic peak appeared and its current increased. Finally, the original cathodic peak disappeared and was replaced by another cathodic peak with higher current. These behaviors on CV could be attributed to the formation of a receptor—anion complex, which has been demonstrated by the SWV experiment before. The addition of HSO_4^- to the CH₃CN solution of receptor 4a induced the cathodic peak current to increase, which may be attributed to hydrogenation at the iron center, which consumes the receptors in a reduction reaction.¹⁷

CONCLUSIONS

Luminescent or redox-active diimidazolate-bridged metallomacrocycles with palladium(II) or platinum(II) centers can be obtained from (TMEDA)Pd(NO₃)₂ or (TMEDA)Pt(NO₃)₂ and diimidazole ligands in a 1:1 molar ratio in water. The structures of metallomacrocyclic assemblies {[(TMEDA)Pd]₂L¹₂}⁴⁺ have been transformed by alteration of the counteranions from NO₃⁻ (1) to PF₆⁻ (1a), as revealed by ¹H NMR analysis in the solution state and X-ray structure analysis in the solid state. The fluorescence titration experiment indicated that the 1:1 host–guest complexation of metallomacrocyclic assemblies {[(TMEDA)Pd]₂L¹₂}⁴⁺ with NO₃⁻ was formed. In addition, the anion sensing for metallomacrocycles was investigated via fluorescence and CV titration. These positively charged watersoluble metallomacrocycles are capable of being utilized in anion recognition and sensing in aqueous solution.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Materials. All chemicals and solvents were of reagent grade and were purified according to conventional methods.¹⁸ The metal—organic complexes (TMEDA)Pd(NO₃)₂ and (TMEDA)Pt(NO₃)₂ (where TMEDA = N^1, N^1, N^2, N^2 -tetramethylethane-1,2-diamine) were prepared according to literature procedures.¹⁹ The organic ligands 9,10-bis(imidazol-1-ylmethyl)anthracene (L¹), 9,10-bis(benzimidazol-1-ylthmethyl)anthracene (L²), and 1,1'-bis(imidazolylmethyl)ferrocene (L³) were synthesized according to published methods.^{20–22}

Instrumentation. ¹H NMR experiments were performed on a Bruker Avance DMX400 spectrometer using tetramethylsilane $[Si(CH_3)_4]$

| Table 2. | Crystallographic | Data for | Complexes | $1 \cdot 2H_2O$, |
|----------------------|------------------------------|----------|-----------|-------------------|
| la•4H ₂ C |), and 4 · 2H ₂ O | | | |

| | $1 \cdot 2H_2O$ | $1a \cdot 4H_2O$ | 4•2H₂O |
|---------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| formula | C ₅₆ H ₇₂ N ₁₆ - O ₁₄ Pd ₂ | $C_{56}H_{76}F_{24}N_{12}-O_4P_4Pd_2$ | C ₄₈ H ₇₂ Fe ₂ N ₁₆ - O ₁₄ Pd ₂ |
| fw | 1406.10 | 1773.97 | 1421.72 |
| cryst size [mm] | 0.20	imes 0.22 | 0.22 	imes 0.24 | 0.22 	imes 0.24 |
| | imes 0.28 | \times 0.28 | imes 0.28 |
| cryst syst | monoclinic | monoclinic | triclinic |
| space group | P2(1)/c | C2/c | $P\overline{1}$ |
| a [Å] | 11.8736(18) | 21.1271(10) | 9.8461(6) |
| <i>b</i> [Å] | 21.6334(15) | 29.7501(15) | 11.0730(7) |
| c [Å] | 27.5290(16) | 14.7561(8) | 14.8140(9) |
| α [deg] | 90.00 | 90.00 | 93.2950(10) |
| β [deg] | 98.295(3) | 103.105(1) | 105.099(2) |
| γ [deg] | 90.00 | 90.00 | 98.7650(10) |
| $V [Å^3]$ | 6997.3(12) | 9033.2(8) | 1533.13(16) |
| Ζ | 4 | 4 | 1 |
| $ ho_{ m calcd} [{ m g/cm}^3]$ | 1.335 | 1.304 | 1.540 |
| $\mu \; [\mathrm{mm}^{-1}]$ | 0.582 | 0.560 | 1.113 |
| F(000) | 2896 | 3584 | 728 |
| $2\theta_{\max}$ [deg] | 52.00 | 52.00 | 52.00 |
| no. of unique data | 13730 | 8892 | 5991 |
| no. of param | 864 | 502 | 392 |
| GOF $[F^2]^a$ | 1.06 | 1.00 | 1.06 |
| R1 $[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)],$ | 0.0546, 0.1236 | 0.0574, 0.1366 | 0.0489, 0.1035 |
| wR2 $[F^2]^b$ | | | |

$$\begin{split} & \Delta \rho_{\text{max}} \Delta \rho_{\text{min}} \left[e/\text{Å}^3 \right] \quad 0.48, -0.81 \quad 0.75, -0.79 \quad 1.04, -0.57 \\ & \text{GOF} = \left[w(F_{\text{o}}^2 - F_{\text{c}}^2)^2 \right] / (n-p)^{1/2}, \text{ where } n \text{ and } p \text{ denote the number} \\ & \text{of data points and the number of parameters, respectively.} \quad ^b\text{R1} = (||F_{\text{o}}| - |F_{\text{c}}|) / |F_{\text{o}}|; \text{ wR2} = \left[w(F_{\text{o}}^2 - F_{\text{c}}^2)^2 \right] / [w(F_{\text{o}}^2)^2]^{1/2}, \text{ where } w = 1 / [\sigma^2(F_{\text{o}}^2) \\ & + (aP)^2 + bP \right] \text{ and } P = (F_{\text{o}}^2 + 2F_{\text{c}}^2)/3. \end{split}$$

as an internal standard. ESI-MS measurements were performed with an HP5989B mass spectrometer.

Elemental analyses were performed on a Thermoquest Flash EA 1112 instrument. UV—visible absorption spectra were obtained on a Cary 50 Probe UV—visible spectrophotometer. Fluorescence spectra were measured using a PerkinElmer instruments luminescence spectrophotometer. Fluorescent titrations were carried out by the addition of aliquots of various anions as their K⁺ salts to receptor 1 (1.0×10^{-5} M) in H₂O and to receptor 1a (1.0×10^{-5} M) in H₂O/CH₃CN (2:1, v/v), respectively, at 25 °C. Excitation was at 370 nm. Excitation and emission slit widths were 3 and 7 nm, respectively.

CV and SWV studies were performed on a CHI620b electrochemical analyzer in a 0.1 M (*n*-Bu)₄NPF₆-CH₃CN solution with a platinum electrode as the working electrode at 25 °C (scan rate: 100 mV/s). A 0.01 M Ag/AgNO₃ electrode [in 0.1 M (*n*-Bu)₄NPF₆-CH₃CN] was employed as the reference electrode, and a platinum electrode ($\Phi = 0.3$ mm) was used as the supporting electrode. Redox potentials were reported versus the ferrocenium/ferrocene redox couple. Redox potential shifts were obtained after an excess of anions as their (*n*-Bu)₄N⁺ salts was added to the solution of receptors 4a in CH₃CN (5.0 × 10⁻⁴ M) containing 0.1 M (*n*-Bu)₄NPF₆.

CAChe 6.1.1 Program. A visual molecular model was computed using the *CAChe 6.1.1* program²³ to evaluate the shape of macrocycles **3**.

X-ray Structural Determinations. X-ray diffraction measurements were carried out at 291 K on a Bruker Smart Apex CCD area detector equipped with graphite-monochromated Mo K α radiation

 $(\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å})$. The absorption correction for all complexes was performed using *SADABS*.²⁴ All of the structures were solved by direct methods, refined by employing full-matrix least squares on F^2 using the *SHELXTL* (Bruker, 2000) program, and expanded using Fourier techniques.²⁵ All non-H atoms of the complexes were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters. The H atoms were included in idealized positions. Final residuals along with unit cell, space group, data collection, and refinement parameters are presented in Table 2.

Synthesis. { $[(TMEDA)Pd]_2L^{1}_2$ }(NO_3)₄ (**1**). (TMEDA)Pd(NO_3)₂ (41.6 mg, 0.12 mmol) was added to a suspension of L¹ (40.8 mg, 0.12 mmol) in H₂O (1 mL), and the mixture was stirred for 12 h at room temperature. The mixture was filtered, and the resulting clear yellow solution was evaporated to dryness to give a yellow solid. Pure **1**, as a microcrystalline light-yellow solid, was obtained by concentration of a methanol solution of **1**. Yield: 39.5 mg (48%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆, 25 °C, Si(CH₃)₄, ppm): 8.19–8.16 (m, 8H), 7.58 (s, 4H), 7.54–7.51 (m, 8H), 7.51 (s, 4H), 7.45 (s, 4H), 6.25 (s, 8H, L¹–CH₂) 2.68 (s, 8H, TMEDA–CH₂), 2.15 (s, 24H, TMEDA–CH₃). ESI-MS (methanol, *m*/*z*): 1308.35 ([1–NO₃⁻]⁺), 623.2 ([1–2NO₃⁻]²⁺), 394.5 ([1–3NO₃⁻]³⁺), 280.6 ([1–4NO₃⁻]⁴⁺). Elem anal. Calcd for C₅₆H₆₈N₁₆Pd₂O₁₂·2H₂O: C, 47.83; H, 5.16; N, 15.94. Found: C, 47.79; H, 5.04; N, 15.66. X-ray-quality crystals were grown by the slow evaporation of a methanol solution of **1** at room temperature.

The PF₆⁻ salt of **1** (**1a**) was prepared by exchange with a 10-fold excess of NH₄PF₆ in a methanol solution. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSOd₆, 25 °C, Si(CH₃)₄, ppm): 8.31–8.28 (dd, $J_1 = 6.9$ Hz, $J_2 = 3.1$ Hz, 8H), 8.14 (s, 4H), 7.52–7.49 (dd, $J_1 = 6.9$ Hz, $J_2 = 3.1$ Hz, 8H), 7.25 (s, 4H), 7.10 (s, 4H), 6.27 (s, 8H, L¹–CH₂) 2.86 (s, 8H, TMEDA–CH₂), 2.37 (s, 24H, TMEDA–CH₃). Elem anal. Calcd for C₅₆H₆₈N₁₂Pd₂P₄F₂₄·4 H₂O: C, 37.91; H, 4.32; N, 9.47. Found: C, 37.79; H, 4.14; N, 9.36. X-ray-quality crystals were grown by the vapor diffusion of diethyl ether into a solution of **1a** in acetonitrile at room temperature.

 $\{[(TMEDA)Pt]_2L_2^1\}(NO_3)_4$ (**2**). AgNO₃ (10.2 mg, 0.06 mmol) was added to a suspension of (TMEDA)PtCl₂ (11.5 mg, 0.03 mmol) in H₂O (1 mL), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h in the dark. Then the mixture was filtered, to the colorless filtrate was added L¹ (10.2 mg, 0.03 mmol), and the system was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. After acetone (0.5 mL) was added, the system was heated at 60 °C for 24 h under stirring. After being filtered, the resulting clear yellow solution was evaporated to dryness to give a yellow solid. Pure 2, as a light-yellow solid, was obtained by the vapor diffusion of diethyl ether into a solution of 2 in methanol at room temperature. Yield: 8.5 mg (37%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆, 25 °C, Si(CH₃)₄, ppm): 8.14-8.12 (m, 8H,), 7.59 (s, 4H), 7.53-7.49 (m, 8H), 7.51 (s, 4H), 7.42 (s, 4H), 6.27 (s, 8H, L¹-CH₂) 2.72 (s, 8H, TMEDA-CH₂), 2.31 (s, 24H, TMEDA-CH₃). ESI-MS (methanol, *m*/*z*): 711.7 ([2-2NO₃⁻]²⁺), 324.8 ($[2-4NO_3^{-}]^{4+}$). Elem anal. Calcd for $C_{56}H_{68}N_{16}Pt_2O_{12}\cdot 2$ H₂O: C, 42.48; H, 4.58; N, 14.15. Found: C, 42.26; H, 4.33; N, 13.83.

 $\{[(TMEDA)Pd]_2L_2^2\}(NO_3)_4$ (**3**). (TMEDA)Pd(NO_3)_2 (10.4 mg, 0.03) mmol) was added to a suspension of L^2 (13.2 mg, 0.03 mmol) in H₂O (1 mL), and the mixture was stirred for 12 h at room temperature. After acetone (0.5 mL) was added, the system was heated at 60 °C for 24 h under stirring. The resulting clear yellow solution was evaporated to dryness to give a yellow solid. Pure 3, as a yellow solid, was obtained by the vapor diffusion of diethyl ether into a solution of 3 in methanol at room temperature. Yield: 19.8 mg (84%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO*d*₆, 25 °C, Si(CH₃)₄, ppm): 9.09–9.07 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 4H), 8.45–8.43 $(dd, J_1 = 7.0 Hz, J_2 = 3.2 Hz, 4H), 8.21 - 8.19 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 4H), 7.98 (s, J_2 = 3.2 Hz), 7.98$ 4H, C2–H), 7.87–7.85 (dd, *J*₁ = 7.0 Hz, *J*₂ = 3.2 Hz, 4H), 7.83–7.81 (dd, J_1 = 7.0 Hz, J_2 = 3.2 Hz, 4H), 7.80–7.76 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 4H), 7.73–7.69 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 4H), 7. 38–7.36 (dd, J₁ = 7.0 Hz, J₂ = 3.2 Hz, 4H), 6.66–6.63 (d, J = 14.5 Hz, 4H, L^2 –CH₂), 6.38–6.34 (d, J = 14.5Hz, 4H, L^2 -CH₂), 2.96-2.90(b, 4H, TMEDA-CH₂), 2.74-2.67 (t, J = 13.3 Hz, 4H, TMEDA-CH₂), 2.49 (s, 12H, TMEDA-CH₃), 1.86

(s, 12H, TMEDA–CH₃). ESI-MS (methanol, m/z): 1508.4 ([3–NO₃⁻]⁺), 723.2 ([3–2NO₃⁻]²⁺), 461.5 ([3–3NO₃⁻]³⁺), 330.6 ([3–4NO₃⁻]⁴⁺). Elem anal. Calcd for C₇₂H₇₆N₁₆O₁₂Pd₂·2H₂O: C, 53.83; H, 5.02; N, 13.95. Found: C, 53.65; H, 4.91; N, 13.55.

{[(*TMEDA*)*Pd*]₂*L*³₂}(*NO*₃)₄ (**4**). The same procedure as that employed for 1 was followed for the synthesis of **4**, except that L³ (40.6 mg, 0.12 mmol) was used as the starting material. Yield: 71.2 mg (87%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃CN, 25 °C, Si(CH₃)₄, ppm): 8.29 (s, 4H), 7.27 (s, 4H), 7.15 (s, 4H), 4.76 (s, 8H, L³-CH₂), 3.98 (s, 8H), 3.70 (s, 8H), 2.92 (s, 8H, TMEDA-CH₂), 2.53 (s, 24H, TMEDA-CH₃). ESI-MS (methanol, *m*/*z*): 630.6 ([4-2NO₃⁻]²⁺), 399.7 ([4-3NO₃⁻]³⁺), 284.4 ([4-4NO₃⁻]⁴⁺). Elem anal. Calcd for C₄₈H₆₈Fe₂N₁₆Pd₂O₁₂·2 H₂O: C, 40.55; H, 5.10; N, 15.76. Found: C, 40.59; H, 4.95; N, 15.58. X-ray-quality crystals were grown by the slow evaporation of a methanol solution of **4** at room temperature.

The PF_6^- salt of 4 (4a) was prepared by exchange with a 10-fold excess of NH_4PF_6 in a methanol solution. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃CN, 25 °C, Si(CH₃)₄, ppm): 8.03 (s, 4H), 7.25 (s, 4H), 7.16 (s, 4H), 4.76 (s, 8H, L³-CH₂), 3.97 (s, 8H), 3.67 (s, 8H), 2.93 (s, 8H, TMEDA-CH₂), 2.55 (s, 24H, TMEDA-CH₃). Elem anal. Calcd for C₄₈H₆₈Fe₂N₁₂P₄F₂₄Pd₂· 2H₂O: C, 32.88; H, 4.14; N, 9.59. Found: C, 32.76; H, 4.05; N, 9.38.

{[(*TMEDA*)*Pt*]₂*L*³₂}(*NO*₃)₄ (**5**). The same procedure as that employed for **2** was followed for the synthesis of **5**, except that L³ (10.4 mg, 0.03 mmol) was used as the starting material. Yield: 7.9 mg (33%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD, 25 °C, ppm): 8.61 (s, 4H), 7.37–7.37 (d, *J* = 1.1 Hz, 8H), 4.97 (s, 8H, L³–CH₂), 4.16–4.15 (t, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 8H), 3.85–3.84 (t, *J* = 1.7 Hz, 8H), 3.07 (s, 8H, TMEDA–CH₂), 2.78 (s, 24H, TMEDA–CH₃). ESI-MS (methanol, *m*/*z*): 1501.3 ([**5**–NO₃⁻]⁺), 719.7 ([**5**–2NO₃⁻]²⁺), 459.1 ([**5**–3NO₃⁻]³⁺), 328.8 ([**5**–4NO₃⁻]⁴⁺). Elem anal. Calcd for C₄₈H₆₈Fe₂N₁₆Pt₂O₁₂·2H₂O: C, 36.05; H, 4.54; N, 14.02. Found: C, 35.74; H, 4.37; N, 13.88.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information. ¹H NMR spectra of 1, 1a, 2–4, 4a, and 5, ESI-MS spectra of 2–5, packing diagrams of 1, 1a, and 4, tables of selected bond lengths and angles for 1, 1a, and 4, fluorescence spectra, CV spectra, and X-ray crystallographic files for complexes 1, 1a, and 4 in CIF format. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author *E-mail: yusy@ruc.edu.cn.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This project was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant 51073171), the Beijing Natural Science Foundation (Grant 2112018), and the Graduate Science Research Foundation of Renmin University of China (Grant 22396155).

REFERENCES

 Lehn, J.-M. Supramolecular Chemistry: Concepts and Perspectives; VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 1995.

(2) Bianchi, A., Bowman-James, K., García-España, E., Eds. Supramolecular Chemistry of Anions; Wiley-VCH: New York, 1997.

(3) Mingos, D. M. P.; Ramón, V. Structure and Bonding, Recognition of Anions; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 2008.

(4) (a) Gale, P. A. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2000, 199, 181–233. (b) Gale,
 P. A. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2001, 213, 79–128. (c) Beer, P. D.; Gale, P. A.

Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 487–516. (d) Gale, P. A. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2010, 39, 3746–3771.

(5) (a) Bowman-James, K. Acc. Chem. Res. 2005, 38, 671–678.
(b) Kang, S. O.; Llinares, J. M.; Day, V. W.; Bowman-James, K. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2010, 39, 3980–4003.

(6) (a) Amendola, V.; Fabbrizzi, L.; Mosca, L. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2010, 39, 3889–3915. (b) Duke, R. M.; Veale, E. B.; Pfeffer, F. M.; Kruger, P. E.; Gunnlaugsson, T. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2010, 39, 3936–3953. (c) Li, A.-F.; Wang, J.-H.; Wang, F.; Jiang, Y.-B. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2010, 39, 3729–3745.

(7) Snowden, T. S.; Anslyn, E. V. Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 1999, 3, 740–746.

(8) Martínez-Máñez, R.; Sancenón, F. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 4419-4476.

(9) (a) Wong, W. W. H.; Vickers, M. S.; Cowley, A. R.; Paul, R. L.; Beer, P. D. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2005**, *3*, 4201. (b) Chellappan, K.; Singh, N. J.; Hwang, I.-C.; Lee, J. W.; Kim, K. S. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 2899–2903.

(10) (a) Seidel, S. R.; Stang, P. J. Acc. Chem. Res. 2002, 35, 972–983.
(b) Würthner, F.; You, C.-C.; Saha-Möller, C. R. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2004, 33, 133–146. (c) Fujita, M.; Tominaga, M.; Hori, A.; Therrien, B. Acc. Chem. Res. 2005, 38, 371–380. (d) Yu, S.-Y.; Li, S.-H.; Huang, H.-P.; Zhang, Z.-X.; Jiao, Q.; Shen, H.; Hu, X.-X.; Huang, H. Curr. Org. Chem. 2005, 9, 555–563.

(11) (a) Bondy, C. R.; Gale, P. A.; Loeb, S. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 5030–5031. (b) Vega, I. E. D.; Gale, P. A.; Light, M. E.; Loeb, S. J. Chem. Commun. **2005**, 4913–4915.

(12) (a) Yu, S.-Y.; Huang, H.; Liu, H.-B.; Chen, Z.-N.; Zhang, R.;
Fujita, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 686–690. (b) Yu, S.-Y.;
Huang, H.-P.; Li, S.-H.; Jiao, Q.; Li, Y.-Z.; Wu, B.; Sei, Y.; Yamguchi, K.;
Pan, Y. J.; Ma, H.-W. Inorg. Chem. 2005, 44, 9471–9488. (c) Li, S.-H.;
Huang, H.-P.; Yu, S.-Y.; Li, Y.-Z.; Huang, H.; Sei, Y.; Yamaguchi, K.
Dalton Trans. 2005, 2346–2348. (d) Huang, H.-P.; Li, S.-H.; Yu, S.-Y.;
Li, Y.-Z.; Jiao, Q.; Pan, Y.-J. Inorg. Chem. Commun. 2005, 8, 656–660.
(e) Liu, L.-X.; Huang, H.-P.; Li, X.; Sun, Q.-F.; Sun, C.-R.; Li, Y.-Z.; Yu,
S.-Y. Dalton Trans. 2008, 12, 1544–1546. (f) Li, X.; Li, H.; Yu, S.-Y.; Li,
Y.-Z. Sci. China, Ser. B: Chem. 2009, 52, 471–474. (g) Ning, G.-H.; Xie,
T.-Z.; Pan, Y.-J.; Li, Y.-Z.; Yu, S.-Y. Dalton Trans. 2010, 39, 3203–3211.
(h) Ning, G.-H.; Yao, L.-Y.; Liu, L.-X.; Xie, T.-Z.; Li, Y.-Z.; Qin, Y.; Pan,
Y.-J.; Yu, S.-Y. Inorg. Chem. 2010, 49, 7783–7792.

(13) Kaplan, L.; Kester., W. L.; Katz, J. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1952, 74, 5531–5532.

(14) (a) Smit, K. J.; Ghiggino, K. P. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1985, 13, 369.

(b) Xie, H.; Yi, S.; Yang, X.; Wu, S. New J. Chem. 1999, 23, 1105–1110.
 (15) Fery-Forgues, S.; Delavaux-Nicot, B. J. Photochem. Photobiol., A

2000, *132*, *137*.

(16) Otón, F.; Tárraga, A.; Espinosa, A.; Velasco, M. D.; Molina, P. J. Org. Chem. **2006**, *71*, 4590.

(17) (a) Thomas, J.-L.; Howarth, J.; Hanlon, K.; Mcguirk, D. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 413–416. (b) Thomas, J.-L.; Howarth, J.; Kennedy, A. M. *Molecules* **2002**, *7*, 861–866.

(18) Armarego, W. L. F.; Perrin, D. D. Purification of Laboratory Chemicals; 4th ed.; Butterworth Heinemann: Oxford, U.K., 1997.

(19) Willermann, M.; Mulcahy, C.; Lippert, B. Inorg. Chem. 2006, 45, 2093.

(20) Neelakandan, P. P.; Ramaiah, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 8407–8411.

(21) Du, J.-L.; Hu, T.-L.; Zhang, S.-M.; Zeng, Y.-F.; Bu, X.-H. CrystEngComm 2008, 10, 1866–1874.

(22) Niu, H.-T.; Yin, Z.-M.; Su, D.-D.; Niu, D.; He, J.-Q.; Cheng, J.-P. Dalton Trans. 2008, 3694–3700.

(23) CAChe 6.1.1 for Windows; Fujitsu Ltd.: Chiba, Japan, 2003.

(24) Sheldrick, G. M. SADABS, Program for area detector adsorption correction; Institute for Inorganic Chemistry, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, 1996.

(25) Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXTL NT, Program for Solution and Refinement of Crystal Structures, version 5.1; University of Göttingen: Göttingen, Germany, 1997.